

1.4.1: Institution obtains feedback on the academic performance and ambience of the institution from various stakeholders, such as Students, Teachers, Employers, Alumni etc. and action taken report on the feedback is made available on institutional website

	Supporting Documents
Sr. no	Stakeholders
1	Students Feedback Forms
2	Parents Feedback Forms
3	Faculty Feedback Forms
4	Alumni Feedback Forms



Academic Year 2023-2024

Feedback on Academic Performance & Ambience of the Institution

Your Academic Year & Semester *				
1st year B. Arch - Sem 1				4
1st year B. Arch - Sem 2				
2nd year B. Arch - Sem 3				
2nd year B. Arch - Sem 4				a
3rd year B. Arch - Sem 5				
3rd year B. Arch - Sem 6	×		70 9	
4th year B. Arch - Sem 7				•
4th year B. Arch - Sem 8		to.		
Final Year B. Arch - Sem 9				
Final Year B. Arch - Sem 10				
Other:				



out of the course.	the courses that you	u have stu	died in relatio	n to the compe	tencies ex	pected *
Excellent					*	
O Very Good		10 50				
Good						· #
Average						
Poor						
How do you rate the	e electives offered?	*	n ¹⁰			
How do you rate the	e electives offered?	*	a a a			, *
	e electives offered?	*				
Excellent	e electives offered ?	*				
ExcellentVery Good	e electives offered?	*				
ExcellentVery GoodGood	e electives offered?	*				



Rate the Integration of course material with current events, recent i topics	nnovations & interdisciplinary *
Excellent	
O Very Good	
Good	
Average	
O Poor	
Rate the hands-on learning/ workshops conducted with reference to professional skills.	o development of *
Excellent	
O Very Good	
Good	
Average	
Poor	



Rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course *
Excellent
O Very Good
Good
Average
O Poor
Rate the ability to understand concepts in the courses offered. *
Excellent
O Very Good
Good
Average
Poor
Rate the ability to achieve learning outcomes of the courses offered *
Excellent
O Very Good
Good
Average
Poor



Rate the satisfaction level of teaching with refer	ence to the cou	rses offered. *	
Excellent			
O Very Good			
Good			
Average			
O Poor			
Rate the satisfaction level of exposure to profes and their work.	sionals in the fie	eld of architecture	& allied fields *
Excellent	10		
O Very Good			
Good	ж		
Average			
Poor			
p - e - *			9



Rate the ambience and infrastructur	re facilities offered by t	the institution *	
Excellent	2 0		
Very Good			
Good			
Average			
Poor			196
		g g	
Any Suggestions ?			
Average			

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.



Academic Year 2023-2024

Feedback on Academic Performance & Ambience of the Institution

Your Academic Year & Semester *			0		
1st year B. Arch - Sem 1					
1st year B. Arch - Sem 2					
2nd year B. Arch - Sem 3					
2nd year B. Arch - Sem 4					
3rd year B. Arch - Sem 5					
3rd year B. Arch - Sem 6					
4th year B. Arch - Sem 7				4 ,	
4th year B. Arch - Sem 8	 39				NI COM
Final Year B. Arch - Sem 9		a e			
Final Year B. Arch - Sem 10				to e	
Other:				fis a	



	ate the syllabu		s that you have stu	ıdied in re	elation to the	competer	ncies exp	ected *
C	Excellent		9 8 8 0					
	Very Good). *				
	Good							
(Average							
) Poor		¥					
Н	ow do you rate	e the electives of	ffered ? *					10
			×***		e (r			
() Excellent							
C	Very Good	. ,			36 128			
, C	Good							
\odot	.Average							*
0	Poor							
•) Poor		1 5		***			



topic								
0	Excellent							
0	Very Good							
•	Good							
	Average				9			
).	Poor						19	
Rate	the hands-or	n learning/ w	orkshops co	onducted v	with referen	ice to deve	elopment of	
Prote	the hands-oressional skills	n learning/ w	orkshops co	onducted v	with referen	ce to deve	elopment of	
	essional skills	n learning/ w	orkshops co	onducted v	with referen	ce to deve	elopment of	
	essional skills Excellent	n learning/ w	orkshops co	onducted v	with referen	ce to deve	elopment of	
	essional skills Excellent Very Good	n learning/ w	orkshops co	onducted v	with referen	ce to deve	elopment of	



Rate the evaluation scher	me designed for each o	of the course *			
Excellent					*
Very Good					
Good					
Average					
Poor					
	167				
Rate the ability to understa	and concepts in the co	urses offered. *	**		
Excellent					
Very Good					
⊚ Good			er er		
Average		*			
Poor		* - 2 <u>89</u> × × - 4/2			
	·v		ii * ★		
Rate the ability to achieve	learning outcomes of the	***	- Lyd		
Excellent	**************************************	g so 8		*	
Very Good		- E.	*		
Good			W.		
Average					
Poor				¥	2.



Rate the satisfaction level of te	aching with reference to the co	ourses offered. *	
Excellent			
Very Good			
Good			
Average			
O Poor			
· ·			
Rate the satisfaction level of ex and their work.	sposure to professionals in the	field of architecture & alli	ed fields *
Excellent	944 15		
O Very Good		50 O	
Good			
Average			*
Poor			
tia.			



Rate the ambience and infras	structure facilities offered by the	institution *	
Excellent			
Very Good			
Good			
Average			
Poor			
		ar a	
Any Suggestions ?	en en		
***	1.		
	his content is neither created nor endorsec	by Google.	
	Google Forms		



Academic Year 2023-2024

Feedback on A	cademic	Performance	& Ambi	anaa af th	a Institution
reeuback on A	N Cauemic	Periormance	& Ambi	ence of th	e institution

Your Academic Year & Semester *
1st year B. Arch - Sem 1
1st year B. Arch - Sem 2
2nd year B. Arch - Sem 3
2nd year B. Arch - Sem 4
3rd year B. Arch - Sem 5
3rd year B. Arch - Sem 6
4th year B. Arch - Sem 7
4th year B. Arch - Sem 8
Final Year B. Arch - Sem 9
Final Year B. Arch - Sem 10
Other:





Rate the Integration of cours	se material with curre	nt events, recent	nnovations & interdi	sciplinary *
ExcellentVery GoodGoodAveragePoor				
Rate the hands-on learning/ professional skills.	workshops conducte	d with reference t	o development of	. *
Excellent				
○ Very Good ○ Good		era vite	×	
Average				e H Ta
Poor				



Rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course *	
Excellent	
O Very Good	
Good	
Average	
OPoor	
Rate the ability to understand concepts in the courses offered. *	
reace the ability to understand concepts in the courses offered.	
○ Excellent	
O Very Good	
Good	
Average	
OPoor	
Rate the ability to achieve learning outcomes of the courses offered *	
Excellent	
○ Very Good	
Good	
Average	9
OPoor	



Rate the satisfaction level of teaching	ng with reference to the courses off	ered. *
ExcellentVery GoodGoodAveragePoor		
Rate the satisfaction level of exposurand their work.	ure to professionals in the field of ar	rchitecture & allied fields *
ExcellentVery Good		
Good		
AveragePoor	•	



Rate the ambience and infrastructure facilities offered by	the institution *
Excellent	
O Very Good	
⊚ Good	
O Average	
Poor	
Any Suggestions?	
, 22ggcouche :	

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.



Academic Year 2023-2024

Feedback on Academic Performance & Ambience of the Institution

Your Academic Year & Semester *	
1st year B. Arch - Sem 1	
1st year B. Arch - Sem 2	
2nd year B. Arch - Sem 3	
2nd year B. Arch - Sem 4	
3rd year B. Arch - Sem 5	
3rd year B. Arch - Sem 6	
4th year B. Arch - Sem 7	to the mark
4th year B. Arch - Sem 8	
Final Year B. Arch - Sem 9	
Final Year B. Arch - Sem 10	
Other:	



Rate the syllabus of the courses that you have out of the course.	studied in relation to the competencies expected *
Excellent	
○ Very Good	w.
Good	
Average	
Poor	
How do you rate the electives offered?*	
Excellent	
O Very Good	
Very GoodGood	
Good	



Rate the Integration of course material with current events, recent innovations & interdisciplinary * topics
ExcellentVery GoodGoodAverage
Poor
Rate the hands-on learning/ workshops conducted with reference to development of professional skills.
Excellent
○ Very Good • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Good
AveragePoor



Rate the evaluation	scheme designed	for each of th	e course *		
ExcellentVery GoodGoodAveragePoor					
Details abilities					
Rate the ability to ur	nderstand concept	s in the cours	es offered. *		
Excellent					
O Very Good					
Good		*			
Average					
O- Poor					
		*			я к h
Rate the ability to a	chieve learning ou	tcomes of the	courses offe	red *	
Excellent					
Very Good					
Good					
Average					* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
Poor		8 V 8	*		



Rate the satisfaction level of teaching with reference to the courses offered. *	
ExcellentVery GoodGoodAveragePoor	
Rate the satisfaction level of exposure to professionals in the field of architecturand their work.	e & allied fields *
Excellent	
○ Very Good	
Good	
Average	
Poor	



Rate the ambience and infrastructure	e facilities offere	d by the institution *	
Excellent			
Very Good			
Good		* *	
Average			
Poor			

Any Suggestions?

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.



Smt.K.L.Tiwari College of Architecture - Parents Feedback Form 2023 - 2024

This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation. The confidential and will be used as important feedback for quality imstudies/institution.	
Name of Ward: *	,
	,
Pranav mane	ë se
Name of Parent/Guardian: *	
Milind Mane	
	i i
Academic year & Semester of the Ward: *	
5	
Email id of the parent/guardian: *	
milind.mane1954@gmail.com	



Relationship wi	th Student: *				
FatherMotherGuardian			1.	saj'	
Education of the	e Parent/Guardian: *				
Graduate				8 9	
O Post Gradua O Any Other	ate				
Occupation of t	he Parent/Guardian: *				
Cultivation Business		44	e · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	* ,	
	Public Sector) Private Sector)		e_{\parallel}		
Any Other					

Feedback on Academic Performance of the Institution



Does the syllabus meet its objectives?	
YesNoOther Remarks:	
Does college emphasis on fundamentals:	
YesNoNo Remarks	
Does benefits derived from the course:	2 .
YesNoOther Remark:	



Rate	e the coverage of	f modern/advanced topics				
0	Excellent Very Good	20				
o	Good				*	
0	Average Poor	** , * ,				
Ò	7001		8 N			
Doe	s this course me	et the current industry req	uirements:			
<!--</th--><th>Yes No</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>IM.</th>	Yes No					IM.
0	Other Remarks:			5 8	j.	
·The	quality of the ed	ucation is: *				
0	Excellent Very Good					a ,
OO	Good					
0	Average Poor					



How do you rate the qua	lity and relevance of the	courses include	ed into the c	urriculum? *
Excellent				
O Very Good				
Good		- E - A		
Average				
Poor				
Your opinion about other etc, conducted:	activities like tours, sem	inars, workshop	o, sports, cu	Itural activities
Excellent				
Excellent Very Good				
● Very Good				
Very GoodGood				



Your opinion about the college staff and their support	t to your ward: *
Excellent	
○ Very Good	
● Good	
Average	
Poor	r.
Your confidence on the employability of your ward ba	ased on this programme of study *
Excellent	
○ Very Good	
Good	
Average	
Poor	
Academic growth of your ward *	
Excellent	
○ Very Good	
Good	
Average	
Poor	



Teachers engaging students with real careers:	life activities, teaching moral values and shaping their
Excellent	
Very Good	
Good	
Average	
Poor	
Ambience & Infrastructure of the In	stitution
Your opinion about the subject facultie	es and the lab equipment *
Excellent	
○ Very Good	
Good	
• O Average	
Poor	



Your ward's consciousness conservation, cleanliness.	about gender	r sensiti	vity, enviror	nment,	energy	
Excellent						
Very Good		Ý				
Good				a ^{Si} st		
Average						
Poor						
Library facilities: *						
Excellent					* * *	
O Very Good						
Good		125				
Δverage						8



Poor

Sports facilities: *		
C Very Cood		
○ Very Good ○ Good		
AveragePoor		
The total atmosphere of the College: *		
Excellent		
O Very Good O Good	9 +4×	
O Average O Poor		
Rate in terms of your ward given enoug	gh exposure as far as career orientation is cond	erned: *
Excellent		
Very GoodGood		
Average Poor		



Rate the authorities in terms of easily approachable regarding any queries: *
Excellent
O Very Good
Good
Average
Poor
Any specific reason for selecting the Institution for your ward?
Do you have any suggestion as to how this institution can help its students even more?

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.



Smt.K.L.Tiwari College of Architecture - Parents Feedback Form 2023 - 2024

This questionnaire

is intended

to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation. The information provided by you will be kept confidential and will be used as important feedback for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution.	
Name of Ward: *	
Zaid Shaikh	
Name of Parent/Guardian: *	
Abdul Karim shaikh	
·	
•	
Academic year & Semester of the Ward: *	
0.1 0.0000	
Sem 07	
Email id of the parent/guardian: *	
Abdulkarim456@gmail.com	



Relationship with Student: *		
Father		
Mother		
Guardian		
Education of the Parent/Guardian: *		
Graduate		
O Post Graduate		
Any Other		
Occupation of the Parent/Guardian: *		
Cultivation	*	
Business		
Employee (Public Sector)		
Employee (Private Sector)		
Any Other		

Feedback on Academic Performance of the Institution



Does the syllabus meet its objectives?		
Yes		
● No		
Other Remarks:		
Does college emphasis on fundamentals:		
Dood conlege omphasis on fandamentale.		
Yes		
○ No	¥.	
No Remarks	B 2	
G. e. v		
Does benefits derived from the course:		
Yes		
○ No		
Other Remark:	*	
- 113. (13.112.11)		
4 14		



Rate the coverage of modern/advanced topics:	
Trate the coverage of modern/advanced topics.	
Excellent	
O Very Good	
Good	
Average	8 8
Poor	
Does this course meet the current industry requirements:	
Yes	w w
○ No	
Other Remarks:	
The quality of the education is: *	
Excellent	
○ Very Good	
Good	
Average	
Poor	



How do you rate the quality and relevance of the courses included into the curriculum? *
Excellent
Very Good
Good
Average
Poor
Your opinion about other activities like tours, seminars, workshop, sports, cultural activities * etc, conducted:
Excellent
○ Very Good
Good
○ Average
Poor



Your opinion about the college staff and their support to	your ward: *
Excellent	
O Very Good	
Good	
Average	
Poor	
Your confidence on the employability of your ward based	d on this programme of study *
Excellent	
O Very Good	
Good	
Average	
Popr	
Academic growth of your ward *	
Excellent	
O Very Good	8
Good	
Average	
Poor	



Teachers engaging students with real life activities, teaching moral values and shaping thei careers:	r
ExcellentVery GoodGoodAveragePoor	
Ambience & Infrastructure of the Institution Your opinion about the subject faculties and the lab equipment * Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor	



*				
	Your ward's conscious conservation, cleanling	isness about gender sensitivity, einess.	nvironment, energy	*
	Excellent			
	O Very Good			
	Good			
	Average	*		
	Poor			8 4
	Library facilities: *			
	Excellent			
	Very Good			
	Good	4 a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a		1 0



Average

Poor

Sports facilities: *		
Excellent		
Very Good		
Good		
Average		
Poor		
The total atmosphere of the College: *		
Excellent		
○ Very Good	e v	
Good		
Average		
Poor	9004	
	×	
Rate in terms of your ward given enough exposure	e as far as career orie	entation is concerned: *
Rate in terms of your ward given enough exposure		9.50
Excellent		
Very Good		
Good		
Average		
Poor		



Rate the authorities in terms of easily approachable regarding any queries: *			
Excellent	į ·		
O Very Good			
Good			
Average			
Poor			
Any specific reason for selecting the Institut	ion for your ward?		
Nope			
2			
Do you have any suggestion as to how this	institution can help its students even more?		
Nope			

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.



	of Architecture - Parents
Feedback Form 2023 -	2024
This questionnaire is intended to collect information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and ev confidential and will be used as important feedback studies/institution.	aluation. The information provided by you will be kept for quality improvement of the program of
Name of Ward: *	
Om Ramesh Vishwakarma	
Name of Patent/Guardian: *	
Ramesh Vishwakarma	
Academic year & Semester of the Ward: * 5th. Year	
Email id of the parent/guardian: *	
kv20000@gmail.com	
The state of the s	

rkv2



Relationship with Student: *			
Father			
Mother			
Guardian			
Education of the Parent/Guardian: *	,		
,·.		į.	
Graduate		1.4	
O Post Graduate			•
Any Other			·
		् ५ ३७० दे	
Occupation of the Parent/Guardian: *	e		
Cultivation			# *
Business			
Employee (Public Sector)			
Employee (Private Sector)			
Any Other			
	g 60 9 8		•

Feedback on Academic Performance of the Institution



Does the syllabus meet its objectives?					
Yes					
○ No					
Other Remarks:					
Does college emphasis on fundamentals:					
o suprise on fandamentals.					
● Yes					
O No					
No Remarks	*				
Does benefits derived from the course:					
Yes		2 (20) 2 (20) 2 (20)	590	e= " &	
○ No					
Other Remark:					
			6 3		



Rate the coverage of modern/a	dvanced topics:			
Excellent				æ
Very Good				
Good				
Average				
Poor			Q.	
	0			
Does this course meet the curre	nt industry requiremen	ts:	te .	
Yes			et ion	
○ No				
Other Remarks:				
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *				
.4		4		
The quality of the education is: *			5	
Excellent		o ² a g		
Very Good				
Good				
Average				
Poor				



How do you rate the quality and re	elevance of the courses included into the curriculum? *
	and a sure of the control of the con
Excellent	
O Very Good	
Good	
Average	
Poor	
Your opinion about other activities I etc, conducted:	like tours, seminars, workshop, sports, cultural activities *
	mg is a
Excellent	
Very Good .	
Good	
Average	
Poor	



Your opinion about the o	college staff and their	support to your v	vard: *	2	
Excellent					
O Very Good					
Good					
Average		*			
Poor					
*		3			
Your confidence on the e	employability of your v	vard based on thi	is programme of	study *	
Excellent	1				
Very Good	- Lav				
Good	el al	68			
Average			15		
Poor		24	*		
			*		
Academic growth of your	ward *				
Excellent					
Very Good					
Good					
Average					
Poor					



Teachers engagi careers:	ng students with real life	activities, teachir	ng moral values and	d shaping their	*
Excellent			Tie s		*
O Very Good					
Good					
Average					
Poor	w				
Ambience & Infr	astructure of the Institu	ution			
Your opinion abou	ut the subject faculties ar	nd the lab equipm	ent *		8
Excellent	a eng	**			
Very Good	, w	1 A 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	9.9		
Good					æ
Average		2 00 g			



Poor

Your ward's consciousness about conservation, cleanliness.	out gender sensitivity, environment, energy	*
Excellent		
○ Very Good		
Good		
Average		
Poor		
Library facilities: *		
Excellent		
Very Good		a estatic a
Good		40
Average		n e e
Poor		



Sports facilities: *				
Excellent			* 2 a	
Very Good				
Good				
○ Average				
Poor				
The total atmosphere of	f the Callery			
The total atmosphere of	rifie College: *			
Excellent				
			· ·	
O Very Good	8 ×			
Good	*	#1 #		
Average		*.	.**	
Average	•	8 8	40	ŧ
Poor				
				505 \$
Rate in terms of ver-				
Rate in terms of your war	rd given enough e	xposure as far as ca	reer orientation is co	ncerned: *
Excellent				
Excellent				
Very Good				
Good				
Average				
Average				
Poor				



Rate the authorities in terms of easily approachable regarding any que	eries: *		
Excellent			
○ Very Good			
Good			
Average			
Poor			
Any specific reason for selecting the Institution for your ward?			
Excellent Institute in Architecture		vi El	•
Do you have any suggestion as to how this institution can help its stude	ents even mor	•3	
I suggest institute for Advance Architectural Software implementation	ants even mor	e?	•

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.



Smt.K.L.Tiwari College of Architecture - Parents Feedback Form 2023 - 2024

This questionnaire

is intended

information relating to your satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation. The inform confidential and will be used as important feedback for quality improven studies/institution.	nation provided by you will be kept ment of the program of
Name of Ward: *	
Room Rayani	
Name of Parent/Guardian: * Shabina Rayani	
Academic year & Semester of the Ward: *	
5th Year Sem-9	
Email id of the parent/guardian: *	
shabina.rayani@gmail.com	



Relationship with Student: *	
Father	
Mother	
Guardian	
Education of the Parent/Guardian: *	
Graduate	
O Post Graduate	
Any Other	
Occupation of the Parent/Guardian: *	
Cultivation	
Business	
	a v , or
Employee (Public Sector)	
Employee (Private Sector)	
Any Other	
Feedback on Academic Performance of	the Institution



Does the syllabus meet its objectives?	
Yes	
O No	
Other Remarks:	
Does college emphasis on fundamentals:	
Yes	
O No	
O No Remarks	
Does benefits derived from the course:	
Yes	
○ 'No	er. f
Other Remark:	



Rate the coverage of modern/advanced topics:	
Excellent Very Good	
Good	
O Average O Poor	
Does this course meet the current industry requirements:	
Yes	
YesNo	2 0.00
O No	
Other Remarks:	
Other Remarks: The quality of the education is: *	
NoOther Remarks:The quality of the education is: *Excellent	
 No Other Remarks: The quality of the education is: * Excellent Very Good 	



How do you rate the quality a	and relevance of the courses in	ncluded into the curriculum? *	
Excellent			
O Very Good			
Good			
Average	9.59		9•(a)
Poor			
Your opinion about other activetc, conducted:	vities like tours, seminars, wor	rkshop, sports, cultural activities	
Your opinion about other active etc, conducted: Excellent	vities like tours, seminars, wor	kshop, sports, cultural activities	
etc, conducted:	vities like tours, seminars, wor	kshop, sports, cultural activities	
etc, conducted: Excellent	vities like tours, seminars, wor	rkshop, sports, cultural activities	
etc, conducted: Excellent Very Good	vities like tours, seminars, wor	kshop, sports, cultural activities	
etc, conducted: Excellent Very Good Good	vities like tours, seminars, wor	kshop, sports, cultural activities	



	Your opinion about the college sta	aff and their s	support to your	ward: *		2/	23
	a control of						
	Excellent			*			
	O Very Good					34	
	Good						
	Average				file:		
	Poor						
	Your confidence on the employabil	lity of your w	ard based on th	nis programme	of study	*	
					•		
	Excellent					8	
	Very Good						
2	Good			¥		8	
	Average						
	Poor		и — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	,			
	Academic growth of your ward *	9					
	Excellent			*			
	Very Good					1901	
	Good						
	Average						
	Poor						



Teachers engaging students with real life activities, teaching moral values and shaping the careers:	eir *
Excellent	
O Very Good	
Good	
Average	
Poor	
Ambience & Infrastructure of the Institution	
Your opinion about the subject faculties and the lab equipment *	
Excellent	
O Very Good	
Good	
Average	
O Poor	



Your ward's consciousness about gender sensitivity, environment, energy conservation, cleanliness.	
Excellent	
O Very Good	
Good	
Average	
Poor	
Library facilities: *	
Excellent	
O Very Good	
Good	
Average	
Poor	



Sports facilities: *			
Excellent			
O Very Good			
Good			
Average			
Poor			
The total atmosphere of the College: *			
Excellent			
○ Very Good			
Good			
Average			
Poor			
Rate in terms of your ward given enough exposure	as far as care	er orientation is	concerned: *
Excellent			
O Very Good			
Good			
Average			
Poor			



Rate the authorities in terms of easily appro	Oachable regarding any such	
	any queries: *	
Excellent		
O Very Good		
Good		
Average		
Poor		
Any specific reason for selecting the Institution	on for your ward?	

Do you have any suggestion as to how this in	institution can neip its students even more?	
This content is neither	er created nor endorsed by Google.	



SMT. K. L. TIWARI COLLEGE OF ARCHITECTURE : Faculty members Feedback Form - 2023 - 2024

Email * suha.riyaz.k@gmail.com	
Feedback on Academic Performance & Ambience of the Institution	
Full Name *	
Suha Riyaz Khopatkar	
Designation: *	
Professor	
Associate Professor	
Assistant Professor	
Assistant Professor - Allied	
○ Visiting Faculty	
Guest Faculty	

Academic Performance



Relevance of course	e contents with the current	scenario in the fiel	d / profession	of architecture. *
Excellent				
Very Good				
Good			1 100	
Average				
Poor				
•				
Flexibility in syllabus Assignments	s / course to accommodate	innovative Teachi	ng Methodolog	y and
Very Flexible				
Flexible			8	
O Less Flexible				
O Not Flexible		2 11 4		
O Very Rigid				
		8		



	Is the depth of course contents, sufficient for coverage of the course outcomes *
	Very Sufficient
	Sufficient
	less Sufficient
	Insufficient
	Highly insufficient
	Are the contact hours suggested in Syllabus sufficient for timely completion of the course *
	Sufficient
	Surplus
	Insufficient
	Does the institute offer value added courses/ soft skill training/ domain specific electives for enhancing constructive learning
100	Strongly agree
	O Agree
	O Neutral
	O Disagree
	O Strongly disagree

Ambience & Infrastructure of the Institution



Are the initiatives taken, contributing towards	achieving the Mission and Vision of the institution *
Strongly agree	
Agree	
O Neutral	
O Disagree	
Strongly disagree	•
Are you satisfied with the participation opportunion-academic activities?	unity offered by the institute in the academic &
	unity offered by the institute in the academic &
non-academic activities ?	unity offered by the institute in the academic &
non-academic activities ? Strongly agree	unity offered by the institute in the academic &
non-academic activities ? Strongly agree Agree	unity offered by the institute in the academic &
non-academic activities ? Strongly agree Agree Neutral	unity offered by the institute in the academic &



	Rate the resources a	available in the institute	with respect to	teaching & lea	rning *	
	Excellent					
	Very Good		ë ë			
	Good		7.8		* - \$ 8 8 8 ° ° °	
	Average					
	O Poor					
		on with respect to your o	current engagen	nent with the in	stitution *	
	Excellent					
	Very Good					
	Good		(e)	8 , 9 x		
	Average					
	Poor			SEC.		
,	1.			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Any Suggestions ?					
	The Projector can be in learning in students	mproved along with more	inter-semester int	eractions that in	fuse more vertical	
				,		

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.



SMT. K. L. TIWARI COLLEGE OF ARCHITECTURE : Faculty members Feedback Form - 2023 - 2024

Email *			
appersisrebello@rahuleducation.com			
Feedback on Academic Performance & Amb	ience of t	he Institut	ior
Full Name *			
Persis Rebello			
Designation : *			
Professor	· ·		
Associate Professor			
Assistant Professor			
Assistant Professor - Allied			
○ Visiting Faculty	20		
Guest Faculty			

Academic Performance



Relevance of course contents with the contents	current scenario in the field / profession of architecture. *
Excellent	
Very Good	
Good	• व
O Average	
Poor	
	# ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **
Flexibility in syllabus / course to accommodate Assignments	modate innovative Teaching Methodology and
Very Flexible	
Flexible	
C Less Flexible	
O Not Flexible	
Very Rigid	
*	



Is the depth of course contents,	sufficient for coverage of the coul	rse outcomes *	
Very Sufficient			
Sufficient			
O less Sufficient			
Insufficient			
Highly insufficient			
Are the contact hours suggested	l in Syllabus sufficient for timely c	completion of the course *	
Sufficient			
Surplus			
Insufficient	8 8		
Does the institute offer value addeniancing constructive learning	ded courses/ soft skill training/ do	main specific electives for	*
Strongly agree			
Strongly agreeAgree			. "•
Agree			. 1
O Agree O Neutral			× (3
Agree Neutral Disagree			

Ambience & Infrastructure of the Institution



Are the initiatives taken, contributing	towards achieving th	e Mission and Vision of	the institution *
Strongly agree			
Agree		*	
O Neutral		1 N	
Disagree			
Strongly disagree		u	
Are you satisfied with the participation		No. 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	
non-academic activities ?	on opportunity offered	by the institute in the ac	ademic &
	on opportunity offered	by the institute in the ac	ademic &
non-academic activities ?	on opportunity offered	by the institute in the ac	ademic &
non-academic activities ? Strongly agree	on opportunity offered	by the institute in the ac	ademic &
non-academic activities? Strongly agree Agree	on opportunity offered	by the institute in the ac	ademic &
non-academic activities? Strongly agree Agree Neutral	on opportunity offered	by the institute in the ac	ademic &



Rate the resources available in the institute with respe	ect to teaching & learning *
○ Excellent	
Very Good	
⊚ Good	
O Average	
Poor	
Rate your satisfaction with respect to your current eng	agement with the institution *
Excellent	
Very Good	
Good	
Average	
Poor	
Any Suggestions ?	



SMT. K. L. TIWARI COLLEGE OF ARCHITECTURE : Faculty members Feedback Form - 2023 - 2024

Email *	9	
dushanbhag@gmail.com		2
Feedback on Academic Performance & Am	bience of the Institution	
Full Name *		
Durgesh Shanbhag		
Designation: *	*:	
Professor		
Associate Professor	2 W ()	
Assistant Professor	Pack.	
Assistant Professor - Allied	Ξ	
Visiting Faculty		
Guest Faculty		
·		

Academic Performance

Relevance of cours	se contents with	the current s	cenario in the field	/ profession of ar	chitecture. *
Excellent					
Very Good	8				
Good			772 1		
Average					
Poor	*				
<u> </u>					
Flexibility in syllabut Assignments	s / course to acc	ommodate ir	nnovative Teachin	g Methodology an	d
Very Flexible					
Flexible				* * *	
C Less Flexible					
O Not Flexible					
Very Rigid	• • •	, , = ,			
			v		



Is the depth of course contents, sufficient for coverage of the course out	comes *
O Very Sufficient	
Sufficient	
O less Sufficient	
Insufficient	
Highly insufficient	
Are the contact hours suggested in Syllabus sufficient for timely complete	ion of the course *
Sufficient	
Surplus	(2) E
Insufficient	an 1 ¹⁰ 4 4 4
	7
Does the institute offer value added courses/ soft skill training/ domain sp enhancing constructive learning	ecific electives for *
O Strongly agree	
O Agree	Property and
Neutral	
O Disagree	
Strongly disagree	

Ambience & Infrastructure of the Institution



Are the initiatives taken, contributing	g towards	achieving f	he Mis	sion an	d Vision of the	institution *
Strongly agree	. •					
Agree						
O Neutral						
Disagree						
Strongly disagree						
						•
Are you satisfied with the participation non-academic activities?	on opportu	nity offered	d by the	institu	te in the acade	emic & *
Strongly agree						
Agree Neutral						
					2	
Disagree			100		9	
Disagree Strongly disagree						



Rate the resources available in the	ne institute with resp	pect to teaching & le	earning *
Excellent			
Very Good			
Good			3)
○ Average	5 н е		
Poor			
	se!		
Rate your satisfaction with respec	ct to your current en	gagement with the	institution *
Excellent			
Very Good			
Good	N _S	3 4	
Average			
Poor		March 8	
8 .á		a an ^a ≢a	
Any Suggestions ?		A	or x jw€



SMT. K. L. TIWARI COLLEGE OF ARCHITECTURE : Faculty members Feedback Form - 2023 - 2024

Email *	9	
dushanbhag@gmail.com		2
Feedback on Academic Performance & Am	bience of the Institution	
Full Name *		
Durgesh Shanbhag		
Designation: *	*:	
Professor		
Associate Professor	2 W ()	
Assistant Professor	Pack.	
Assistant Professor - Allied	Ξ	
Visiting Faculty		
Guest Faculty		
·		

Academic Performance

Relevance of cours	se contents with	the current s	cenario in the field	/ profession of ar	chitecture. *
Excellent					
Very Good	8				
Good			772 1		
Average					
Poor	*				
<u> </u>					
Flexibility in syllabut Assignments	s / course to acc	ommodate ir	nnovative Teachin	g Methodology an	d
Very Flexible					
Flexible				* * *	
C Less Flexible					
O Not Flexible					
Very Rigid	• • •	, , = ,			
			v		



Is the depth of course contents, sufficient for coverage of the course out	comes *
O Very Sufficient	
Sufficient	
O less Sufficient	
Insufficient	
Highly insufficient	
Are the contact hours suggested in Syllabus sufficient for timely complete	ion of the course *
Sufficient	
Surplus	(2) E
Insufficient	an 1 ¹⁰ 4 4 4
	7
Does the institute offer value added courses/ soft skill training/ domain sp enhancing constructive learning	ecific electives for *
O Strongly agree	
O Agree	Property and
Neutral	
O Disagree	
Strongly disagree	

Ambience & Infrastructure of the Institution



Are the initiatives taken, contributing	g towards	achieving f	he Mis	sion an	d Vision of the	institution *
Strongly agree	. •					
Agree						
O Neutral						
Disagree						
Strongly disagree						
						•
Are you satisfied with the participation non-academic activities?	on opportu	nity offered	d by the	institu	te in the acade	emic & *
Strongly agree						
Agree Neutral						
					2	
Disagree			100		9	
Disagree Strongly disagree						



Rate the resources available in the	ne institute with resp	pect to teaching & le	earning *
Excellent			
Very Good			
Good			3)
Average	5 н е		
Poor			
	se!		
Rate your satisfaction with respec	ct to your current en	gagement with the	institution *
Excellent			
Very Good			
Good	N _S	3 4	
Average			
Poor		March 8	
8 .á		a an ^a ≢a	
Any Suggestions ?		A	or x jw€



niharika.desai2000@g	mail.com					
Full Name *						
Niharika Desai						
*		2				
ear of Passing-out	*		7	Ā	e . a.	
2021					*	
2022	•		ed to		** = -y*	
2023					8	æ H
2024				. N		
			3			
our current Job / w	ork profile *	48				
enior media designer	and curator at TAF	London. Assis	stant lectur	er at Smt.	K.L Tiwari Co	llege of



Rate the Courses under B.Arch Programme with respect to its structure to achieve the learning *outcomes.	
Excellent	
○ Very Good	
● Good	
O Average	
OPoor	
Rate the Institution with respect to Teaching & Learning *	
Excellent	
Very Good	
Good	



Average

Poor

Rate the Institution with respect to growth opportunity	ortunities for student	s *
Excellent		
O Very Good		
⊚ Good		***
Average		
Poor		
Rate the Institution with respect to Industry / Pi	rofession - Academia	a exposure *
Excellent		
Very Good		
Good		
Average	¥	
Poor		
	* ,	, , , , ,
Rate the Institution with respect to extra-curricular	ular activities, Hands	s-on learning, workshops *
Excellent	a :	3 31
O Very Good		
● Good	r 3	
Average		
Poor	g wi	



Rate the ability of research	the programme to orien	t students towar	ds pursuing f	urther higher edu	ucation / *
Excellent					
Very Good	ang saga				
Good					
Average					
OPoor					
Rate the ability of	programme content in o	developing expe	cted skills and	d abilities in prof	ession *
Excellent					
O Very Good				42.5%	
⊚ Good			9		
Average					
Poor	NA THE STATE OF TH	·	and the same		
Would you like to o	contribute towards the in	itiatives by the in	nstitute with re	espect to alumni	*
O Yes					
No					
May be					



Are you satisfied with th	e efforts taken by the In	stitution to connec	t with its Alumni?	*
Yes				
O No				
Infrastructure of the In	stitution			
Rate the Institution with	respect to Infrastructure	e & facilities *		
O 1				
Excellent				
O Very Good				
Good				
Average	261	ž.		
Poor	, F 7			
	g * 2			
8				
Any Suggestions?			a de la constantina della cons	



Email * harshilsshah2000@gmail.com Full Name * Harshil Sanjay Shah Year of Passing-out * 2021 2022 2023 2024			
harshilsshah2000@gmail.com Full Name * Harshil Sanjay Shah Year of Passing-out * 2021 2022 2023			
Full Name * Harshil Sanjay Shah Year of Passing-out * 2021 2022 2023	F " *		
Full Name * Harshil Sanjay Shah Year of Passing-out * 2021 2022 2023	∟mail *		
Full Name * Harshil Sanjay Shah Year of Passing-out * 2021 2022 2023			
Full Name * Harshil Sanjay Shah Year of Passing-out * 2021 2022 2023	harshilsshah2000@gmail.com		
Harshil Sanjay Shah Year of Passing-out * 2021 2022 2023			
Harshil Sanjay Shah Year of Passing-out * 2021 2022 2023		92	
Harshil Sanjay Shah Year of Passing-out * 2021 2022 2023			
Harshil Sanjay Shah Year of Passing-out * 2021 2022 2023	2 X 6		
Harshil Sanjay Shah Year of Passing-out * 2021 2022 2023	e un		
Year of Passing-out * 2021 2022 2023	Full Name *		
Year of Passing-out * 2021 2022 2023			
202120222023	Harshil Sanjay Shah		
202120222023	2		
202120222023	9		
202120222023			
202120222023			
202120222023	Voor of Dossing out *		e e
20222023	rear or Passing-out		
20222023			9 S
20222023			*
2023	2021		
2023			
2023	2022		
O 2023	2022		
	0000		in the second se
O 2024	2023		
O 2024			
	2024		
	Your current Job / work profile	*	
Your current Job / work profile *	*		
Your current Job / work profile *	Architect at Novus Nextech Pvt. L	.td.	



Rate the Courses under B.Arch Programme wit outcomes.	th respect to its structure to achieve the lear	rning *
Excellent		
Very Good	* ************************************	
Good		
Average		
O Poor		
Rate the Institution with respect to Teaching & I	_earning *	
Excellent		
Very Good		, v
Good		
○ Average		
Poor		



Rate the Institution with respect to growth opportunities for students *
Excellent
Very Good
Good
O Average
Poor
Rate the Institution with respect to Industry / Profession - Academia exposure *
Excellent
Very Good
Good
O Average
Poor
Rate the Institution with respect to extra-curricular activities, Hands-on learning, workshops *
Excellent
○ Very Good
Good
O Average
OPoor



Rate the ability of research	the programme to or	ient students	owards pursu	ing further higher	education / *
e					
Excellent					
Very Good					
Good					
Average					
OPoor					
			• 94.		
Rate the ability of	programme content	in developing	expected skil	ls and abilities in _l	profession *
Excellent					
Very Good	and a symptom				
	. 8				s "
Good				*	
Average	(4)				
Poor				90 8	
				•	***
	contribute towards th			with respect to alu	ımni *
Yes				2 ^{et (V)}	r ^e let a r
O No					
May be					



Are you satisfied with the effo	orts taken by the Institution to co	nnect with its Alumni?	*
• Yes			
○ No		a ·	
Infrastructure of the Institut	tion		
Rate the Institution with respe	ect to Infrastructure & facilities		
Excellent			
Very Good			
Good	and the second s		
Average			
Poor		• ***	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
	*		
Any Suggestions?			



Email ★						
ambeprachi07@gmail.cor	n					
					120 20	
Full Name *						
Prachi Tambe						
ear of Passing-out *						
2021				9 gs		
2022						
2023						
2024	a a		10.			
our current Job / work	profile *		*			
Junior architect				***	. "	



Rate the Courses und outcomes.	der B.Arch Programme	with respect to its struct	ture to achieve the l	earning *
Excellent				
Very Good				
Good				
Average				
Poor				
			N	
Rate the Institution w	ith respect to Teaching o	& Learning *		
Rate the Institution w	ith respect to Teaching o	& Learning *		
	rith respect to Teaching o	& Learning *		
Excellent	ith respect to Teaching o	& Learning *		
ExcellentVery Good	rith respect to Teaching o	& Learning *		
ExcellentVery GoodGood	ith respect to Teaching o	& Learning *		
ExcellentVery GoodGoodAverage	ith respect to Teaching o	& Learning *		



Rate the Institution with respect to growth opportunities for students *
Excellent
O Very Good
Good
O Average
Poor
Rate the Institution with respect to Industry / Profession - Academia exposure *
Excellent
O Very Good
Good
Average
Poor
Rate the Institution with respect to extra-curricular activities, Hands-on learning, workshops *
Excellent
Very Good
Good
Average
Poor



Rate the ability of the programme to orient students towards pursuing further higher education / * research
Excellent
○ Very Good
● Good
Average
O Poor
Rate the ability of programme content in developing expected skills and abilities in profession *
Excellent
○ : Very*Good
● Good
○ Average
OPoor
Would you like to contribute towards the initiatives by the institute with respect to alumniparticipation?
○ Yes
○ No
May be



Are you satisfied with the efforts taken by the Institution to connect	with its Alumni? *
Yes	
O No	
Infrastructure of the Institution	
Rate the Institution with respect to Infrastructure & facilities *	
Excellent	
Very Good	
Good	
Average	
Poor	
Any Suggestions?	
No	



Email * rizzeldias@gmail.com	
Full Name *	
Rizzel Dias	
Year of Passing-out *	
20212022	
20232024	
Your current Job / work profile *	
Architect	



Rate the Courses under B.Arch Programme with resoutcomes.	spect to its structure to achieve the learning *
Excellent	
Very Good	
Good	
Average	
Poor	
Rate the Institution with respect to Teaching & Learn	ning *
Excellent	
Very Good	
Good	
Average	
Poor	



Rate the Institution with respect to growth opport	unities for students *
Excellent	
Very Good	
Good	
Average	
Poor	
Rate the Institution with respect to Industry / Prof	fession - Academia evnosure *
rate the metation with respect to madely / 1 for	coston - Academia exposure
Excellent	
Very Good	
Good	
Average	
O Poor	
	The same of the sa
Rate the Institution with respect to extra-curricula	ar activities, Hands-on learning, workshops *
Excellent	
Very Good	
Good	
Average	
Poor	



Rate the ability of the pro research	ogramme to orient students towards p	oursuing further higher education / *
Excellent		
Very Good		
Good		
Average		
Poor		
		200
Rate the ability of progra	amme content in developing expected	d skills and abilities in profession *
Excellent		
Very Good		
Good	-8	
Average		
OPoor	· C, = -	
Would you like to contribution?	ute towards the initiatives by the insti	itute with respect to alumni *
Yes		
○ No		
May be		



Are you satisfied with the efforts taken by the Institution to connect with its Alumni? Yes	*
○ No	
Infrastructure of the Institution	
Rate the Institution with respect to Infrastructure & facilities *	
Excellent	
Very Good	
Good	
O Average	
OPoor	· · · · · ·
Any Suggestions ?	



SMT. K. L. TIWARI COLLEGE OF ARCHITECTURE : Faculty members Feedback Form - 2023 - 2024

Email * suha.riyaz.k@gmail.com	
Feedback on Academic Performance & Ambience of the Institution	
Full Name *	
Suha Riyaz Khopatkar	
Designation: *	
Professor	
Associate Professor	
Assistant Professor	
Assistant Professor - Allied	
○ Visiting Faculty	
Guest Faculty	

Academic Performance



Relevance of course	e contents with the current	scenario in the fiel	d / profession	of architecture. *
Excellent				
Very Good				
Good			1 100	
Average				
Poor				
•				
Flexibility in syllabus Assignments	s / course to accommodate	innovative Teachi	ng Methodolog	y and
Very Flexible				
Flexible			a .	
O Less Flexible				
O Not Flexible		2 11 4		
O Very Rigid				
		8		



	Is the depth of course contents, sufficient for coverage of the course outcomes *
	Very Sufficient
	Sufficient
	less Sufficient
	Insufficient
	Highly insufficient
	Are the contact hours suggested in Syllabus sufficient for timely completion of the course *
	Sufficient
	Surplus
	Insufficient
	Does the institute offer value added courses/ soft skill training/ domain specific electives for enhancing constructive learning
100	Strongly agree
	O Agree
	O Neutral
	O Disagree
	O Strongly disagree

Ambience & Infrastructure of the Institution



Are the initiatives taken, contributing towards	achieving the Mission and Vision of the institution	*
Strongly agree		
Agree		
Neutral		
Disagree		
Strongly disagree	•	
Are you satisfied with the participation opport non-academic activities?	unity offered by the institute in the academic &	,
	unity offered by the institute in the academic &	4
non-academic activities ?	unity offered by the institute in the academic &	*
non-academic activities ? Strongly agree	unity offered by the institute in the academic &	*
non-academic activities ? Strongly agree Agree	unity offered by the institute in the academic &	*
non-academic activities ? Strongly agree Agree Neutral	unity offered by the institute in the academic &	*



	Rate the resources av	vailable in the institute	with respect to teach	ing & learning *	
	Excellent				
	Very Good		a a		
	○ Good .		7.5		el 📆
	Average				
	OPoor				
	Rate your satisfaction Excellent	with respect to your c	urrent engagement w	vith the institution *	
	Very Good				
	Good		i in the second		
	Average				
	Poor			per .	
* .	. J.				
	Any Suggestions ?				
	The Projector can be implearning in students	proved along with more in	nter-semester interaction	ons that infuse more vertica	al
					-



Email * rizzeldias@gmail.com	
Full Name *	
Rizzel Dias	
Year of Passing-out *	
20212022	
20232024	
Your current Job / work profile *	
Architect	



Rate the Courses unde outcomes.	er B.Arch Programme with respec	et to its structure to achie	ve the learning *
Excellent			
Very Good			
Good			
Average			
Poor			
Rate the Institution with	h respect to Teaching & Learning	*	
Excellent			
Very Good			
Good			
Average			
Poor			



Rate the Institution with respect to growth opportunities for students *			
Excellent			
Very Good			
Good			
Average			
Poor			
Rate the Institution with respect to Industry / Pr	rofession - Academia evnosure *		
rate the metation with respect to industry / 1	olossion - Academia exposure		
Excellent			
Very Good			
Good			
Average			
O Poor			
	The same of the sa		
Rate the Institution with respect to extra-curricu	ular activities, Hands-on learning, workshops *		
Excellent			
Very Good			
Good			
Average			
Poor			



Rate the ability of the pro research	ogramme to orient students towards p	oursuing further higher education / *
Excellent		
Very Good		
Good		
Average		
Poor		
Rate the ability of progra	amme content in developing expected	d skills and abilities in profession *
Excellent		
Very Good		
Good	-8	
Average		
OPoor	· C,	
Would you like to contribution?	ute towards the initiatives by the insti	itute with respect to alumni *
Yes		
○ No		
May be		



Are you satisfied with the efforts taken by the Institution to connect with its Alumni? Yes	k
○ No	
Infrastructure of the Institution	
Rate the Institution with respect to Infrastructure & facilities *	
Excellent	
Very Good	
Good	
O Average	
OPoor	
Any Suggestions ?	
,	

